

# Neuroscience or Neuromyth?

---

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** As a juror your task is to decide if each statement is true (i.e. neuroscience) or false (i.e. a neuromyth), based on the evidence presented by each group. You must reach your decision (i.e. a verdict) by evaluating the reasoning and arguments presented by each side of the case.

As each side of the group presents their case and engages in a debate, consider the following:

- Did the prosecutors successfully debunk the statement and prove it to be a neuromyth? Consider how well they presented their case. Was the evidence presented reliable? Were the arguments and reasoning used valid?
- Did the defenders defend the case successfully? Were their arguments stronger than those used by the prosecutors?
- Who used more convincing arguments, the prosecutors or the defenders? Who presented stronger evidence and why?
- Did the groups successfully use the 'Claim-Evidence-Reasoning' format when presenting their evidence?

Below, provide a verdict decision for each group presentation. List at least 2 points that justify your decision.

## Group 1

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:



## Group 2

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:

## Group 3

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:

## Group 4

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:



## **Group 5**

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:

## **Group 6**

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:

## **Group 7**

Neuroscience statement:

Juror's verdict:

Verdict rationale:

